Exceptional preservation of mid-Cretaceous marine arthropods and the evolution of novel forms via heterochrony


Evolutionary origins of novel forms are often obscure because early and transitional fossils tend to be rare, poorly preserved, or lack proper phylogenetic context. We describe a new, exceptionally preserved enigmatic crab from the mid-Cretaceous of Colombia and the United States, whose completeness illuminates the early disparity of the group and the origins of novel forms. Its large and unprotected compound eyes, small fusiform body, and leg-like mouthparts suggest larval trait retention into adulthood via heterochronic development (pseudomorphism), while its large oar-like legs represent the earliest known adaptations in crabs for active swimming. Our phylogenetic analyses, including representatives of all major lineages of fossil and extant crabs, challenge conventional views of their evolution by revealing multiple convergent losses of a typical “crab-like” body plan since the Early Cretaceous. These parallel morphological transformations may be associated with repeated invasions of novel environments, including the pelagic/necto-benthic zone in this pedomorphic chimera crab.

INTRODUCTION

A full understanding of the evolution of novel body plans requires inference about their origins via (i) the study of genetic and anatomical variation in extant taxa and (ii) clues from the fossil record. However, the origins of morphological diversity in many highly successful groups are obscured by the scarcity of transitional fossils or reliable early occurrences placed in proper phylogenetic contexts. A particular example is true crabs, or Brachyura, one of the most speciose, disparate, and economically important groups of crustaceans, with nearly 7000 extant species described (1–3) and over 3000 known from fossils (4, 5). Yet, their evolutionary history and internal phylogenetic relationships remain unresolved [e.g., (2, 6–12)]. In addition, although the tropics hold much of the world’s modern biodiversity and have been considered cradles of diversity through time (13–17), little is known about the early tropical history of fossil crustaceans. This limited knowledge arises from enhanced tropical rock weathering, thick vegetation, and ground cover and fewer scientists working in tropical paleontology compared to modern high latitudes (5). Thus, only a few Lagerstätten are known from modern low latitudes (18), which results in considerable biases when attempting to address major paleogeographic, phylogenetic, and evolutionary questions. What role have megadiverse areas such as the Neotropics played in the evolution of novel forms through time? Which better predicts the distribution of convergent traits and across groups: phylogeny, development, or ecology? What are the relations among extinct and extant branches in the crab tree of life, and how can fossils inform about the time of origin of deep nodes?

Here, we describe a novel and exceptionally preserved body plan of marine arthropods from the mid-Cretaceous [Cenomanian-Turonian, ~95 to 90 million years (Ma) ago] of Colombia and the United States and the assemblage from which the type material was collected (see the Supplementary Materials). The Colombian Konservat-Lagerstätte, from the upper Cenomanian to lower Turonian Churuvita Group, includes hundreds of individuals of the earliest crown group Cumacea (comma shrimp), Caridea (true shrimp), and dozens of juvenile and adult specimens of a novel chimeric crab body plan that represents one of the most anatomically complete early crabs found to date (Figs. 1 to 4). Despite their small size (carapace width, ~4 to 10 mm), the new chimera crabs preserve many features rarely seen in the crustacean fossil record, including sexually dimorphic pleopods, first and second antennae, pediform mouthparts, and large compound eyes bearing facets and optical lobes.

Phylogenetic analyses including all major living and fossil crab groups or sections revealed Callichimaera perplexa to be a unique lineage of ancient true crabs. It evolved during a period of extensive morphological experimentation in the mid-Cretaceous (Fig. 5) and represents the first marine arthropods to evolve highly modified, flattened oar-like thoracic legs for active swimming since the disappearance of paddle-legged eurypterids by the late Permian (~250 Ma ago) (19). Our findings suggest that (i) early crabs exhibited a considerable versatility of form during the Cretaceous, (ii) the novel chimeric body plan evolved via heterochronic retention of larval traits into adult stages, (iii) specialized swimming paddles in crabs can arise from repurposed, flattened limbs used for digging, and (iv) the loss of a typical “crab-like” body plan—or “decarcinization”—has occurred independently several times during the last 130 Ma among both false and true crabs (Fig. 6).
RESULTS

Systematic paleontology

Arthropoda von Siebold, 1848
Decapoda Latreille, 1802
Brachyura Latreille, 1802

Callichimaeroidea section nov.

Included superfamily. Callichimaeroidea fam. nov.
Diagnosis. As for superfamily.

Callichimaeroidea superfam. nov.

Included family. Callichimaeridae fam. nov. Tentatively Retrorsichelidae Feldmann et al. (20).

Diagnosis. Crabs with carapaces longer than wide, small and fusiform (Callichimaeridae), or large and ovate (Retrorsichelidae). Sternites 1 to 4 are fused and visible ventrally, forming an elongated sternal crown; sternite 4 is not mesially depressed; sternites 5 to 7 are unfused and axially sulcate by linea media; sternite 5 is very wide, almost as wide as the carapace; suture 5/6 is complete, but lacks a true sterno-pleonal cavity. The pleon is symmetrical, sexually dimorphic, narrower in males than females, and in both sexes narrower than sternite 6 (Callichimaeridae). Pleonal somites are not fused, lacking articulating rings and bearing dorsal median tubercle or crest; pleonites 1 to 3 are exposed subdorsally; uropods or uropodal plates are absent. True orbits, orbital fissures, or any protective structures are absent. Eyes are large in Callichimaeridae but are likely small and reduced in Retrorsichelidae. Chelipeds (claws) are isochelous, and the manus is stout, with pollex or fixed
finger slightly (Retrorsichelidae) or strongly (Callichimaeridae) deflected downward; chelipeds are folded ventrally and posteriorly beneath carapace. Pereopods (legs) P2 and P3 are much larger than P4 and P5; P4 and P5 are situated subdorsally and directed posteriorly, lacking spines, neither subchelate nor modified for carrying or grasping. In Callichimaeridae, P2 and P3 are large and wide and positioned laterally, with distal podomeres flattened and paddle-like; P4 and P5 are short and narrow, with the dorsal longitudinal keel neither flattened nor paddle-like; P5 is the smallest, well developed but reduced, and carried subdorsally. In Retrorsichelidae, P2 and P3 are apparently large and positioned ventrally; at least one pereopod bears flattened distal articles. **Callichimaeridae fam. nov.**

**LSID.** urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A5D6688D-756B-4FB7-8098-5EB066C38383

*Included genus. Callichimaera gen. nov.*

*Diagnosis. As for type genus and species.*
C. perplexa gen. et sp. nov.

Etymology. The section, superfamily, family, and generic names are derived from the Greek prefix callic- “kalos” (beautiful), alluding to its exceptional preservation, and Chimera, the fabulous mythological beast commonly represented as composed of parts of different animals such as the lion, goat, and snake, alluding to its startling combination of traits present in separate higher decapod taxa, e.g., eubrachyurans, podotremes brachyurans, anomuranans, and some macrurans. The specific epithet derives from the Latin “perplexus,” referring to its puzzling anatomy and phylogenetic affinities. The gender is feminine.

Diagnosis. Small crabs (carapace width, <10 mm; carapace length, <16 mm) that have a carapace longer than wide, fusiform, with distinct cervical and branchiocardiac grooves, and bearing axial longitudinal ridge and postfrontal ridges. Stermites 1 to 4 are visible ventrally; sternites 4 to 7 are unfused, with sutures distinct, and axially sulcate by linea media; all sternites are unique in shape and size; sternite 5 is very wide; suture 5/6 is complete, irregular, and sinusous, lacking true sterno-pleonal cavity; thoracic gonopores are not recognized in males or females. The pleon is symmetrical, sexually dimorphic, narrower in males than females, and in both sexes narrower than sternite 6. Pleona somites are not fused, lacking articulating rings and bearing dorsal median tubercle; pleonites 1 to 3 are exposed subdorsally, and lacking pleonal, sternal, or appendicular locking mechanisms; uropods or uropodal plates are absent. The rostrum is bifid; first and second antennae are short, between the eyes; eyes are very large—the cornea is strongly dilated, subglobular, bearing mostly hexagonal facets, and a short ocular peduncle, lacking orbits, orbital fissures, or any protective structure; third maxillipeds are pediform, elongate, with “crista dentata”; lengths of the ischium and merus are slightly longer than the length of the palp, and the...
merus is positioned far back from anterior of carapace or basal antennal segments. Chelipeds (claws) are isochelous, the manus is stout, with fixed finger deflected ~90°; pereopods (legs P2 and P3) are large and wide, with propodus and dactylus flattened and paddle-like; P4 and P5 are short and narrow, with the dorsal longitudinal keel, lacking spines, not subchelate or modified to carry objects, and neither flattened nor paddle-like; P5 is the smallest, well developed but reduced, and carried subdorsally [modified from (21)].

Description. See the Supplementary Materials for a detailed description of *C. perplexa* gen. et sp. nov.

Holotype. IGM p881215, specimen preserved in ventral view (Fig. 1, A to C), deposited in the paleontological collections of the Colombian Geological Survey, Diagonal 53 #34-53, Bogotá DC, Colombia. Carapace length is 8.5 mm, and carapace width is 5.2 mm.

Additional material. Colombian paratypes IGM p881214 to IGM p881216 and IGM p881221 are deposited in the paleontological collections of the Colombian Geological Survey; paratypes MUN-STRI 27044-01 to MUN-STRI 27044-010 and MUN-STRI 27045-01 to MUN-STRI 27045-020 are deposited in the Mapuka Museum of Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Colombia. Additional nontype materials from the United States, specimens USNM 605049 to USNM 605056, are deposited in the Paleobiology Collections of the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA.

Measurements. The range of measurements is as follows: Holotype has a carapace length of 8.5 mm and a carapace width of 5.2 mm, the smallest paratype IGM p881220 has a carapace length of 6.6 mm and a carapace width of 3.8 mm, and the largest paratype MUN-STRI
27045-015 has a carapace length of 15.1 mm and a carapace width of 9.6 mm.

Type locality, age, and horizon. Churuvita Group, upper Cenomanian to lower Turonian (~95 to 90 Ma ago), Pesca, Boyacá, Colombia (data files S1 and S2), from carapace- and appendage-rich surfaces. Other specimens are from the Frontier Formation, lower-middle Turonian (~90 Ma ago), WY, USA. See the Supplementary Materials for a detailed description of the geological, geographical, and paleontological context.

Systematic remarks
Although molecular and morphological phylogenetics bring powerful tools to the study of relatedness at the genotypic and phenotypic levels, the fossil record provides a unique view into the origins of such relatedness by revealing a past morphological diversity otherwise inaccessible. Furthermore, fossils are pivotal for understanding the evolution of key traits and provide geographic and chronologic data critical to the calibration of nodes of interest.

We consider Callichimaeroida section nov. a true crab or Brachyura instead of Anomura based on the following: (i) its short first and second antennae between the eyes, (ii) a symmetric, sexually dimorphic pleon, (iii) the absence of articulating rings between pleonites, (iv) a reduced telson, (v) complete absence of uropods or uropodal plates, (vi) the presence of modified male pleopods 1 and 2 as highly sclerotized gonopods but lacking pleopods 3 to 5, while the female bears pleopods 2 to 5, (vii) third maxilliped with well-defined ischium and merus, (viii) the presence of only one pair of chelae or claws (pereopod 1), thus pereopods 2 to 5 are achelate, and (ix) P5 is well developed, visible in dorsal view, and neither subchelate nor modified for carrying or grasping (Figs. 1 to 3). However, a precise phylogenetic placement of Callichimaera within Brachyura is problematic because of its “chimeric” nature, the unknown molting linea, and possession of multiple distinctive characters typical of several fossil and extant Brachyura and Anomura clades but not collectively seen in any one taxon. These characters include a lobster/raninid-like elongate carapace, pediform maxillipeds with a crista dentata, spanner-like chelipeds, large paddle-like legs P2 and P3, the dissimilar shape and size of its sternites, a symmetrical pleon lacking uropods or uropodal plates, a dorsally keeled carapace, and large eyes lacking true orbits and orbital fissures (Figs. 1 to 3).

Phylogenetic remarks
Both molecular and morphological phylogenetic studies have recovered Brachyura, or true crabs, as a monophyletic and sister group to Anomura (false crabs and allies) (2, 10, 11, 22). Yet, phylogenetic relationships within Brachyura remain unsettled largely because of the lack of early, intermediate body forms. Results of our Maximum Parsimony (MP) and Maximum Likelihood (ML) analyses largely agree on the arrangement of ingroup taxa, including placement of Callichimaera as an independent lineage branching off before the extinct higher taxa †Torynommoida and †Etyoida (Fig. 5 and figs. S5, S6A, and S7). Although Callichimaera is also recovered in the same position under Implied Weight MP (IWMP) with moderate K values (K = 6) (fig. S6C), under low K values (K = 3), Callichimaera is pulled into a clade with Palaeocorystidae and Raninidae + Lyreididae as a result of a long-branch attraction due to the homoplasic characters shared among decarcinized crabs and, therefore, artificially inflating the fit value of the tree (fig. S6B). Similarly, under IWMP high K values (K = 12), Callichimaera is pulled into a clade with Orithopsideae and Necrocarcicinidae + Cenomanocarcinidae as a result of a long-branch attraction due to the convergent carapaces ornamented with several dorsal longitudinal keels or carina (fig. S6D).

The Bayesian Inference (BI) consensus tree presents the least resolved topology with several major brachyuran lineages collapsed into a polytomy, yet shows a clear paraphyletic podotreme grade (fig. S8). Discrepancies between topologies recovered by probabilistic methods (ML and BI) under the same model of evolution (MK) are not unusual considering differences in the criteria for selecting the best ML and BI topologies. Since BI uses marginal likelihoods to select the optimal topology (as opposed to the joined likelihood in the ML estimation), it is more sensitive to inconsistencies in a dataset.

Although BI has been shown to produce accurate topologies when dealing with morphological data (23, 24), the method remains sensitive to the consistency of the phylogenetic signal present in the dataset, selection of priors, and heterogeneity of evolutionary rates across different lineages on a tree. The lack of resolution in our BI consensus topology is best explained by high topological disparity in the posterior sample of trees, caused by multiple cases of convergent traits and disparity in evolutionary rates (pedomorphosis, high phenotypic plasticity, etc.) across lineages. In light of the ongoing debate over relative performance of parsimony and model-based approaches when analyzing morphological data (23–29), we present results of phylogenetic analyses under multiple optimality criteria, which agree in the placement of Callichimaeridae as a new, independent lineage.

DISCUSSION
Heterochronous development of the chimeric body plan
The diverse forms of the “crustacean” body are strongly regulated by homeobox-containing developmental genes (e.g., (30–32)) and modeled by the interplay of development, environment, and ecology (33, 34). Heterochrony, or changes in developmental timing and/or rates, has played an important role in the evolution of novel forms and functions in many taxa (33, 35), and pedomorphosis (i.e., the retention of juvenile or even larval traits into adulthood) has contributed to the evolution of disparate anatomies in eucrustaceans (36–38). The anatomical character richness seen in Callichimaera, the large sample size (n = 64), the wide size range (body width, 3.8 to 9.6 mm; body length, 6.6 to 15.1 mm) (Fig. 3, D and G to I), presence in localities of Colombia and United States (fig. S1), and the exquisite preservation (Figs. 1 to 4) provide us with a unique opportunity to study aspects of the growth, development, and functional morphology of the species and examine the role of development on the evolution of novel crab forms during the Cretaceous.

Callichimaera superficially resembles a larval stage known as a megalopa: the transitional (final) larval stage between the swimming planktonic zoea larva and the first benthic juvenile crab stage (34). Since megalopae are mostly a single larval stage, they tend to vary minimally in size and shape among conspecifics (39). The only fossil crab larvae currently known are one megalopa from the Late Jurassic Solnhofen lithographic limestones in Germany (~150 Ma) (carapace span, ~5 mm) (40) and a couple of minute Early Cretaceous zoea from the fossiliferous Santana Group in Brazil (~110 Ma) preserved in fish stomach contents (carapace span, >2 mm) [(41) and references therein]. Callichimaera is clearly not a zoea stage. However, it does share characteristics of some crab megalopae, such as general carapace shape or habitus, apparent lack of a clear molting linea, subdorsal
important ways. First, it exhibits a range of body sizes (carapace length, 6.6 to 15.1 mm; carapace width, 3.8 to 9.6 mm) consistent with several growth instars (Fig. 3, D and G to I). Second, brachyuran megalopae have uropods or relicts of them, lack the main sexual traits of adults, and are not sexually mature, thus lacking extreme

extension of the pleon, leg-like maxillipeds armed with spines, and large unprotected and unconcealed eyes lacking orbits.

The new chimeric crab differs from a megalopa larva in several important ways. First, it exhibits a range of body sizes (carapace...
sexual dimorphism (39). *Callichimaera*, on the contrary, lacks any trace of uropods and displays clear sexual dimorphism in both the pleon and pleopods in larger specimens; males bear a pair of well-developed sclerotized gonopods 1 to 2 but lack pleopods 3 to 5, and females bear unmodified pleopods 1 to 5 (Fig. 3). Last, *Callichimaera* has distinctive chelae that are more typical of juvenile and adult crabs like some frog crabs (Raninoidea) and the possible callichimaeroid †Retrorsichela than megalopa larvae do (39). Thus, we conclude that the megalopa-like anatomy of adult *Callichimaera* most likely originated via heterochronous development during early ontogenetic stages (42) and the early fixation of some juvenile traits in adulthood via pedomorphosis (43).

**Convergence of paddle limbs in aquatic euarthropods**

The peculiar oar-like P2 and P3 of *Callichimaera* are convergent with swimming/digging limbs of other euarthropods, such as the sixth prosomal appendages of some eurypterids (sea scorpions), the second and third thoracic legs of grynid beetles (whirligig beetles), corixid and notonectid hemipterans (backswimming true bugs), the fifth to seventh pereopods of deep-sea swimming munnopsid isopods, the fourth pereopod of extinct cenomanocarcinid crabs, and the fifth pereopod of orithyiid, matutid, and portunid crabs (tiger crabs, moon crabs, and swimming blue crabs, respectively) (Fig. 6, J to P). Although most of these structures are not homologous (they arise from different body metameres and may involve different podomeres), they are analogous as specialized multielemental modules suited for efficient swimming and/or digging. A few fossil swimming bugs from the Jurassic and Cretaceous already had long but slender legs seen across species of the family Notonectidae but not modified as paddles/oars for swimming as in eurypterids and swimming crabs. Curiously, after the disappearance of paddle-legged eurypterids by the late Permian around 250 Ma ago (19), no fossil arthropod, to our knowledge, had evolved such highly modified, enlarged, broad, and flattened thoracic limbs until the evolution of *Callichimaera* more than 95 Ma ago (Figs. 1 to 4). The absence of other aquatic arthropods with extremely enlarged and truly flattened uniramous swimming legs from deposits spanning this 150-Ma gap remains puzzling.
Swimming in most adult decapod crustaceans, such as shrimps and lobsters, is achieved via paddling with biramous pleopods and/or rapid flexion of their muscular pleon and caudal fan. The loss of a muscular pleon in the ancestors of crabs, as well as the reduction of the pleon, pleopods, and caudal fan in most groups, precludes them from active swimming in the same way. Instead, highly specialized groups such as swimming crabs (Eubrachyura: Portunoidae) and moon crabs (Eubrachyura: Matutidae) have evolved one or more pairs of legs with modified podomeres for digging, swimming, or both (Fig. 6) (44–46). The long, flattened oar-like legs P2 and P3 of Callichimaera resemble the spatulate legs of some moon crabs, but lack the oval-shaped, leaf-like, or scythe-like distal podomeres, and the nearly 90° angles formed between the meri and carpi podomeres seen in other swimming and digging crabs (Fig. 6, A to C, F, and G). Highly modified paddle- and shovel-like legs have evolved independently at least seven times in crabs, shaped by similar lifestyles, resulting in notable convergences of form and function (Fig. 6).

Paleontological and neontological information suggest that swimming via paddle-like legs in brachyurans has evolved several times via modification of specialized flattened shovel-like legs used for digging and repurposed into paddles for active swimming (47). Callichimaera appears to be structurally suited for active demersal/pelagic swimming, although it could also have been a facultative back burrower, as seen in extant pelagic swimming crabs such as Euphylax dottii or Charybdis smithii (48, 49).

Decarcinization or the departure from a crab-like body plan Callichimaera lacks the typical crab-like body plan characterized by a shortened carapace, well-defined lateral margins, and a ventrally concealed pleon (50). A crab-like (carcinized) body plan has evolved independently at least four times among anomurans [e.g., in Aeglidae, Porcellanidae or porcelain crabs, Lithodidae or king crabs, and some Paguridae or hermit crabs (22, 51–57)] and multiple times among brachyuran crabs (e.g., in Dromioidea and Eubrachyura). It also likely evolved independently in most podotreme groups (Fig. 5). However, some lineages have “decarcinerized” or lost the crab-like body form (50), typically associated with the evolution of fossoriality in groups such as mole crabs (Anomura: Hippoidea), frog crabs (Brachyura: Raninoidea), and masked crabs (Eubrachyura: Corystoidea) (Fig. 6, A to H) (44).

Although the fossil record of mole crabs is sparse and fragmentary, the exceptional fossil record of stem and crown raninoids—ranging from Early Cretaceous to present—allows the direction of change of key morphological traits in the transition from carcinized to decarcarcinerized to be investigated. For example, during the Early Cretaceous, as the carapace of some stem-group raninoids lengthened and their thoracic sternum narrowed (i.e., †Palaeocorystoidea), sternites 5 to 8 narrowed axially and with them the arthrodial cavities for their priopods arthrodial cavities for their pereopods (41), while sternites 7 and 8 and the associated coxae of P4 and P5 migrated toward a more posteroordinal plane, thus forgoing the pleon to unfold backward (41, 58–60). By the end of the Early Cretaceous, both †Palaeocorystoidea and crown-group Raninoidea (Fig. 5) had already evolved flattened pereopods for back burrowing and legs with a ~90° angle of articulation between the merus and carpus (Fig. 6). Only crabs within the superfamilies Raninoidea had narrow branchiostegites and exposed pleurites bridging their narrow posterior dorsal and ventral carapaces (59, 61, 62). The “naked” pleurites, or “gymnopleura,” are a synapomorphy exclusive of the crown-group Raninoidea (Lyreididae + Raninidae) because of their strong decarcinization and are absent in their closest relatives, the stem-group †Palaeocorystoidea and its relatives. Therefore, “Gymnopleura,” previously proposed as a name for the clade uniting Raninoidea and †Palaeocorystoidea (6), must be considered as a junior synonym of Raninoidea. This “naked pleura,” which is unique to Raninoidea, must have evolved in a most recent common ancestor not shared with †Palaeocorystoidea during the late Early Cretaceous at the latest [(58, 62, 63) and references herein].

The superficial resemblance of Callichimaera to other decarcinized crabs, particularly raninoids and palaecrocorystids, might initially suggest a fossorial lifestyle. As personally observed by Luque in the raninid Raninoides benedicti, some of the advantages of a fossorial habit include avoiding visual detection by predators and prey and facilitation of ambush predation from a concealed position (unpublished observation). Yet, most traits of Callichimaera are unlike any other decarcinized crabs and suggest that they were not adaptations for burrowing or burying but are related with efficient swimming.

First, sternites 5 and 6 are very broad—nearly as wide as the carapace—and must have housed large thoracic muscles to control the large oar-like legs P2 and P3 (Figs. 1 to 4), unlike the rather narrow and often reduced sternites in truly fossorial crabs (41). Second, these oar-like legs also lack the ~90° angle of articulation between the carpus and merus seen in typical decarcinized crabs, which would prevent the distal segments from moving near the carapace to aid in back burrowing (Fig. 6). Third, legs P2 and P3 have articles with margins lined by setal pits where setae insert. Setae along these paddle-like legs would have increased the surface of the paddles, such as in blue crabs and munnopsid isopods, where they aid in the sculling stroke. Fourth, legs P4 and P5 differ markedly from legs P2 and P3; they are reduced, narrow, axially keeled, and directed dorsoposteriorly (Figs. 1 to 3) and so would be of little use for digging. In hippoids and ranneloids, leg P4 is usually similar in shape to the preceding legs (P2 and P3), but leg P5 can either be concealed within the branchial chamber (hippoids) or exposed and modified for digging (ranneloids) (Fig. 6). Fifth, Callichimaera does not exhibit obvious respiratory adaptations seen in many extant fossorial crabs, such as accessory exostegal channels or a sieving mechanism for water intake formed when chelipeds are tightly pressed ventrally against the subhepatic region, the pterygostome, and the buccal frame (44, 59). In hippoids and corystoids (Fig. 6, C, H, and I), the setae along the large second antennae interlock to form a tube or “snorkel” that filters and directs the water flow posteriorly; in mole crabs, the second antennae also aid in filter feeding.

Last, decarcinized burrowing crabs usually have a spineo fronto-orbital and/or anterolateral margins and have small eyes and slender eyestalks that retreat into orbits for protection or even eyes so reduced that they are barely exposed, as in Symethis (Fig. 6G). Callichimaera lacks these digging adaptations. Its eyes are unusually large, lack orbits, and are not protected by spines or any other structures, so they must have been permanently exposed even under times of stress.

Phylogenetic and evolutionary implications Callichimaera perplexa blurs the boundaries of how a “crab” is defined. Both anamurans and brachyuran crabs are generally thought to have evolved crab-like body forms from weakly or uncarcinized ancestors. However, we show that a decarcinized body (loss of the
crab-like form) (50) has occurred independently at least five times among both false and true crabs since at least the Early Cretaceous (Figs. 3 and 4). *Callichimaera* appears to be a unique example of a decarceinated crab well suited for active demersal/pelagic swimming instead of benthic fossorial habits. Although no other callichimaeroid taxa have been found beyond the putatively callichimaeroid-like †*Retrorsichela*, an actively swimming *Callichimaera* may well have evolved from a distant fossorial ancestor, as appears to have happened in several extant swimming crab groups such as portunids and mictids. The presence of coeval *C. perplexa* fossils in localities of Colombia and the United States, more than 4000 km apart today (fig. S1), suggests that many of its mosaic characters—so disparate from other adult decapod crustaceans—and the repurposing of flattened limbs for swimming are evolutionary novelties that must have stabilized by the late Cenomanian–early Turonian more than 90 Ma ago.

On the basis of our MP, ML, and BI results (Fig. 5 and figs. S5 to S8), as well as those from several recent works on larval, foregut, and extant adult morphology, and molecular data (2, 10–12, 22, 64, 65), we conclude that podotreme brachyurans (i.e., where males and females have sexual openings at the base of the legs) do not form a natural group but rather a grade. Podotreme clades such as Dromiacea (Homodromioidea, Dromioidea, and extinct relatives) and Homoloida branch closer to the root of Brachyura, while podotreme clades such as Raninoida, Cyclodoriopoida, and extinct relatives, are recovered as sequential sister groups of Eubrachyura (Fig. 5 and figs. S5 to S8). The podotreme condition is plesiomorphic for decapod crustaceans, as it occurs in shrimps, lobsters, anomurans, and all brachyuran clades except for thorocerata and female heterotreme Eubrachyura (12). Extinct clades such as Dakotancaridoida and Componancroidea also appear to be closer to some eubrachyurans (e.g., Doriippoidea) than to less inclusive podotreme brachyurans (i.e., Dromiacea and Homoloida). Alternatively, the presence of sperma- theca in podotreme crabs may have valuable phylogenetic implications and support a monophyletic Podotremata (6, 66), but whether this character alone or other sexual characters were gained or lost several times within total-group Brachyura remains unknown (65). In addition, although extant heterotreme and thorocerata eubrachyurans have been considered to form monophyletic assemblages, it is possible that the heterotreme and maybe the thorocerata conditions had evolved in parallel more than once, but this is yet to be tested.

Regardless of tree topology, the enigmatic *Callichimaera* seems to occupy an intermediate position between the earliest podotreme brachyurans and more derived podotremes plus Eugbrachyura (Fig. 5 and figs. S5 to S8), filling a major gap in the evolutionary history of true crabs. *Callichimaera* may well be neither brachyuran nor anomo- ran but rather its own infraroader Callichimaeridea lying between Anomura and Brachyura. More likely, our results suggest that *Callichimaera* represents a novel lineage of brachyurans that evolved when crabs were undergoing a major adaptive radiation that included extraordinary morphological experimentation, before settling into the more familiar body forms seen today. Crab diversity exploded during the “Cretaceous Crab Revolution” (~145 to 66 Ma ago), with nearly 80% of the higher clades first known from this period (Fig. 5) (67).

The tropics today hold much of the world’s biodiversity and have acted as cradle of diversity by producing and accumulating species through time (13, 14, 17). Thus, it is not unusual that the fossil record from tropical settings should preserve snapshots of its past diversity. Recent discoveries from the Cretaceous of tropical and subtropical Americas include either the oldest or one of the oldest fossil records for several higher taxa (5) previously thought to have originated in higher latitudes (see the Supplementary Materials). Although our understanding of the origins of several true crab lineages is far from settled, these findings provide alternative hypotheses about the early evolution of several groups and suggest that the tropics overall might have played a key role in the origins and diversification for some groups since the Early Cretaceous or earlier (5).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Origin of specimens**

The type series was collected from carapace- and appendage-rich surfaces from the upper Cenomanian to lower Turonian (~95 to 90 Ma ago) Churuvita Group, Boyacá, Colombia, between the years 2005 and 2014. Additional nontype material was collected from the Turonian (~90 Ma) Frontier Formation, WY, USA. Specimens from the type series are generally compacted dorsoventrally. However, the thoracic sternites, pleonites, dorsal carapaces, mandibles, and even internal optical structures are represented in three dimensions in some specimens. The specimens were exposed using fine tungsten carbide needles and pin vises, dissecting scalpels, and fine pneumatic pencils. Broken or fragile samples were consolidated with the cyanoacrylate adhesive Paleo Bond PB-40 and/or stabilized with Paraloid B72 and 95% EtOH as the solvent.

**Imaging and illustration**

Because of the very small size (in micrometers) of some external and internal features, specimens preserving fine-detailed eyes were studied under Zeiss Scanning Electron Microscope EVO 40 VP under variable pressure and back-scattered electron detector with acceleration voltages of 15 and 20 kV. For optical photography, some specimens were coated with sublimated NH₄Cl before photographing to enhance relief and fine ornament. Sets of photographs at different focal points were taken with a Nikon Eclipse 80i and a Nikon Digital Camera Dxm 1200f, Olympus SZX16 Research Stereomicroscope with a digital camera Qimaging Retiga 2000R Fast 1394, Leica Macroscope with Spotflex digital camera, and/or a Nikon D3100 with MicroNikkor 60-mm lens. The resulting multilayered stacks of photos were merged in a single high-definition image using the stacking software Helicon Focus. The photo editing was completed in Adobe Photoshop CS5 and composite figure editing in Adobe Illustrator CS5. For the morphological reconstructions of *Callichimaera*, we digitized camera lucida line drawings using a Wacom Intuos4 Pen Tablet. Digital reconstructions and animations were performed in Autodesk Maya 2009 using standard polygon modeling tools and ultraviolet (UV) layout techniques. The structure, rendering, and topology of the base mesh were edited in Pixologic’s Zbrush 4.0 for digital sculpting and high-frequency detailing of the carapace. The final renders were performed with the Maya plug-in Arnold rendering system using a dome light with a studio lighting setup and an aiStandard material assigned to the mesh. An aiFacetingRatio utility was connected to the color of the material to control the result of the Fresnel of the surface and accentuate the surface details.

**Phylogenetic analyses**

The dataset, containing 47 taxa and 85 adult morphological characters, was built in Mesquite 2.75 (68), modified from (10) (see the Supplementary Materials for details). Undetermined or not preserved characters were scored as “?” and inapplicable characters as “...” Multiple character
states present in a given terminal were scored as polymorphisms. The final dataset was analyzed under MP, ML, and BI search algorithms.

**Maximum parsimony**
The phylogenetic analyses were conducted in TNT v.1.5 (69), after 10,000 iterations under traditional search with random addition sequence, and replicated under equally weighted and different implied weights (K = 3, 6, and 12) as additional tests of placement of the new taxon. Bootstrap and jackknife values were calculated after 10,000 replications each and default settings. Bremer support values for the traditional search were calculated under tree bisection reconnection and retained trees suboptimal by 30 steps. All characters were unordered.

**Maximum likelihood**
The ML analysis was performed in IQ-TREE v.1.5.6 (70, 71) using the Mk model of morphological character evolution (72) conditioned on sampling variable characters only (ascertainment bias correction; +ASC). The among-site rate variation was modeled using gamma distribution with eight discrete rate categories (+G8); the number of categories was selected from an empirically derived range of optimal values (73–75). Node support was estimated using ultrafast bootstrap and SH-aLRT options with 1000 replicates each (76).

**Bayesian inference**
We analyzed the dataset using BI as implemented in MrBayes v. 3.2.6 (77). The dataset was analyzed under the traditional Mk model (72) with an ascertainment bias correction to account for scoring only variable morphological characters. Each analysis was performed with two independent runs of 5 × 10⁷ generations each. We used the default settings of four chains (one cold and three heated) per independent run. The relative burn-in fraction was set to 50%, and the chains were sampled every 1000 generations. We set the temperature parameter to 0.01 as determined by preliminary runs to achieve chain mixing values in the optimal range (0.4 to 0.8). Convergence of independent runs was assessed through the average SD of split frequencies (ASDF ≈ 0.01) and potential scale reduction factors [PSRF ≈ 1 for all parameters (78)]. We used Tracer v. 1.6 (79) to determine whether the runs reached stationary phase and to ensure that the effective sample size for each parameter was greater than 200. Results of the Bayesian runs were summarized as a majority-rule consensus tree of the post-burnin sample with a node support threshold of 75% (nodes with posterior probability support of <75%) were collapsed.
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